UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
----X
DAMIEN D. JOSEPH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ORDER 12-CV-3625 (JS)(GRB)

VINCENT DeMARCO, SUFFOLK COUNTY SHERIFF

Defendant.
----X
SEYBERT, District Judge:

Pursuant to the Court's January 23, 2012 Order of Consolidation, the Court has reviewed the instant Complaint and finds that it relates to the subject matter of the Consolidated Action, Butler, et al. v. DeMarco, et al., 11-CV-2602 (JS)(GRB). Accordingly, this action shall be consolidated with the Consolidated Action. This affects Plaintiff in the following ways:

- 1. Plaintiff in this action shall become part of the putative class in <u>Butler</u> (11-CV-2602);
- 2. Any claims in the instant Complaint that are not included in the Consolidated Amended Complaint in <u>Butler</u> shall be severed (<u>See</u> Order of Consolidation at 17 (describing the process for proceeding with any severed claims after the resolution of the Consolidated Action)); and
- 3. Plaintiff shall be represented by  $\underline{\text{pro}}$   $\underline{\text{bono}}$  counsel, Shearman & Sterling LLP.<sup>1</sup>

Lynch v. DeMarco Case Team c/o Shearman & Sterling LLP 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

Counsel's telephone number is: (212) 848-8023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Counsel's mailing address is:

A copy of the Order of Consolidation and the operative complaint in

Butler -- the Consolidated Amended Complaint -- are annexed to this

Order.

If Plaintiff does **not** wish to proceed as part of the

Consolidated Action he must so indicate in a letter to the Court

within thirty (30) days of receiving a copy of this Order. Upon

receipt of such a letter, the Court will direct the Clerk of the

Court to sever this Complaint from the Consolidated Amended

Complaint and reopen and reinstate his individual pro se action.

The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3)

that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith

and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of

any appeal. See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45,

82 S. Ct. 917, 8 L. Ed. 2d 21 (1962).

The Clerk of the Court is directed to mail a copy of this

Order, the Order of Consolidation, and the Consolidated Amended

Complaint to the pro se Plaintiff at his last known address.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ JOANNA SEYBERT

JOANNA SEYBERT, U.S.D.J.

Dated:

August 1, 2012

Central Islip, New York